

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,296	03/22/2004	Jaime A. Rabi	IDX1012C	1836
20786 KING & SPAL	7590 10/03/2007 DING LLP		EXAMINER	
1180 PEACHT	REE STREET		KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3521			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

٠ آ

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/806,296	RABI, JAIME A.	RABI, JAIME A.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Ganapathy Krishnan	1623			

	Ganapatny Krishnan	1023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ILLD WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	·		ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		i E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ille issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	someopenamy number or analy rej		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amendment	1 101-02-7.
		timely filed amandma	nt conceling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owabie ii submitted in a separate,	umely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-20 and 69-85</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration::			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No/s)		
13. Other:	1 10/08/00/1 aper 10(3).	2010/16.	7
	SHAOJIA ANN	A JIANG, PH.D.	· ·
	SUPERVISORY PA		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicants have amended claim 13 step c, wherein the anhydrous acid halide is generated in situ by reaction of an acyl halide with a second alcohol and argue that the instant claims are not renderd obvious by the prior art since the prior art does not teach or suggest that an anhydrous acid halide can be produced by reaction of an acyl halide with an alcohol, much less in situ. This is not found to be persuasive.

1. First of all, applicants admit in their remarks that it is well known that acyl halides react with alcohols to generate acid halides like HCI. This means that the said conversion is performed by the reaction of HCI, which is generated in situ. Applicants also state that anhydrous conditions offer benefits like completer substitution and high yield. The benefits of anhydrous conditions and the use of such conditions is also well known to one of skill in the art. especially with respect to yield, completer substitution. In the instant process since a halogen at the 1-position of the ribose ring is reacted with a silylated base one of skillin the art would want the halogen on the ribose to get hydrolyzed and hence would obviously use anhydrous reagents and conditions and generating the acid halide to make the haloribose would be done in situ via the reaction of acyl halide with alcohol. One of skill in the art also knows that added HCl contains water and hence would not want to use it. The rpiro art procedure also reports good yields. In the absence of any moisture the seteroselctive substitution would also be complete for the saem reasons since hydrolysis is not a competing reaction. All these are well known to one of skillin the art, especially one doing organic synthesis. The rejection is being maintained.